arXiv:1305.0389v2 [math.CO] 13 May 2013

ON THE H-TRIANGLE OF GENERALISED NONNESTING PARTITIONS

MARKO THIEL

Abstract. To a crystallographic root system Φ, and a positive integer k, there are associated two Fuss-Catalan objects, the set of nonnesting partitions $NN^{(k)}(\Phi)$, and the cluster complex $\Delta^{(k)}(\Phi)$. These posess a number of enumerative coincidences, many of which are captured in the $H = F$ conjecture, first posed by Chapoton for $k = 1$ and later generalised to $k > 1$ by Armstrong. We prove this conjecture in the case where either $k = 1$ or Φ is a classical root system.

1. Introduction

For a crystallographic root system Φ, there are three well-known Coxeter-Catalan objects [1] associated to it: The set of noncrossing partitions $NC(\Phi)$, the set of nonnesting partitions $NN(\Phi)$ and the cluster complex $\Delta(\Phi)$. The former two and the set of facets of the latter are all counted by the same numbers, the Coxeter-Catalan numbers. For the root system of type A_{n-1}, these reduce to objects counted by the classical Catalan numbers $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$, namely the set of noncrossing partitions of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, the set of nonnesting partitions of $[n]$ and the set of triangulations of a convex $(n + 2)$-gon respectively.

For each of these Coxeter-Catalan objects, there also exists a generalisation [1]: The Fuss-Catalan objects $NC^{(k)}(\Phi)$, $NN^{(k)}(\Phi)$ and $\Delta^{(k)}(\Phi)$. They specialise to the corresponding Coxeter-Catalan objects when $k = 1$. The former two and the set of facets of the latter are counted by Fuss-Catalan numbers, which specialise to the classical Fuss-Catalan numbers $C_n^{(k)} = \frac{1}{kn+1}\binom{(k+1)n}{n}$ in type A_{n-1}.

The enumerative coincidences do not end here. Chapoton defined the M-triangle, the H-triangle and the F-triangle, corresponding to doubly refined enumerations of $NC(\Phi)$, $NN(\Phi)$ and $\Delta(\Phi)$ respectively [4, 5]. This allowed him to formulate the $M = F$ conjecture [4, Conjecture 1] and the $H = F$ conjecture [5, Conjecture 6.1] relating these polynomials through invertible transformations of variables. These conjectures were later generalised to the corresponding Fuss-Catalan objects by Armstrong [1, Conjecture 5.3.2]. The $M = F$ conjecture was first proven by Athanasiadis [3] for $k = 1$, and later by Krattenthaler [8, 9] and Tzanaki [10] for $k > 1$. For the $H = F$ conjecture, no results are known so far. We shall rectify this by proving the $H = F$ conjecture in the case where either $k = 1$ or Φ is a classical root system.

2. Definitions

Let $\Phi = \Phi(S)$ be a crystallographic root system with a simple system S. Then $\Phi = \Phi^+ \sqcup \Phi^-$ is the disjoint union of the set of positive roots and the set of negative roots. All positive roots can be written uniquely as a linear combination of the simple roots and all the coefficients of this linear combination are nonnegative integers. For further background on root systems, see [7]. Define the *root order* on Φ^+ by

$$\beta \geq \alpha \text{ if and only if } \beta - \alpha \in \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{N}},$$

that is, $\beta \geq \alpha$ if and only if $\beta - \alpha$ can be written as a linear combination of simple roots with nonnegative integer coefficients. The set of positive roots Φ^+ with this partial order is called the *root poset*. An *order filter* in this poset is a subset I of Φ^+ such that whenever $\alpha \in I$ and $\beta \geq \alpha$, then also $\beta \in I$. The *support* of a root $\beta \in \Phi^+$ is the set of all $\alpha \in S$ with $\alpha \leq \beta$. For $\alpha \in S$, define $V(\alpha)$ as the order filter generated by α, that is the set of all roots $\beta \in \Phi$ that have α in their support. We call a root system *classical* if all its irreducible components are of type A, B, C or D. Otherwise we call it *exceptional*.

Now let k be a positive integer and consider a descending (multi)chain of order filters $V = (V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k)$ with $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \ldots \supset V_k$. This chain is called *geometric* if the following conditions hold:

$$(V_i + V_j) \cap \Phi^+ \subset V_{i+j} \text{ for all } i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\Lambda_i + \Lambda_j) \cap \Phi^+ \subset \Lambda_{i+j} \text{ for all } i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\} \text{ with } i + j \leq k,$$

where $\Lambda_i = \Phi^+ \backslash V_i$, $V_0 = \Phi^+$ and $V_i = V_k$ for $i > k$. Though these conditions may seem technical, they arise naturally as obvious necessary conditions for V to correspond to a region in the fundamental chamber of the k-Shi Arrangement. They are also sufficient, though this is much less obvious [2, Theorem 3.6]. The set $NN^{(k)}(\Phi)$ of *k-nonnesting partitions* of Φ is now the set of all geometric chains of k order filters in the root poset of Φ.

A positive root α is called a *rank k indecomposable element* of a k-nonnesting partition V if $\alpha \in V_k$ and $\alpha \notin V_i + V_j$ for all $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ with $i + j = k$. A positive root α is called a *rank k simple element* of V if $\alpha \in S \cap V_k$. Notice that simple elements are automatically indecomposable. Also, rank k indecomposable elements are minimal elements of V_k in the root order. If $k = 1$, the indecomposable elements are precisely the minimal elements of V_1, so counting nonnesting partitions by the number of indecomposable elements corresponds to counting antichains in the root poset by cardinality.

Now we can define the *H-triangle* [1, Definition 5.3.1] as

$$H^k_\Phi(x, y) = \sum_{V \in NN^{(k)}(\Phi)} x^{|i(V)|} y^{|s(V)|},$$

where $i(V)$ is the set of rank k indecomposable elements of V and $s(V)$ is the set of rank k simple elements of V.

Let $\Phi^{(k)}_{\geq -1}$ be the set of *k-coloured almost positive roots* of Φ, containing one uncoloured copy of each negative simple root and k copies of each positive root, each with a different colour from the colour set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Then there exists a symmetric binary relation called *compatibility* [6, Definition 3.1] on $\Phi^{(k)}_{\geq -1}$ such that all uncoloured negative simple roots are pairwise compatible and for $\alpha \in S$ and $\beta^{(i)} \in \Phi^+$, $-\alpha$ is compatible with $\beta^{(i)}$ if and only if α is not in the support of β. Notice that the colour i of $\beta^{(i)}$ does not matter in this case.

Define a simplicial complex $\Delta^{(k)}(\Phi)$ as the set of all subsets $A \subset \Phi^{(k)}_{\geq -1}$ such that all k-coloured almost positive roots in A are pairwise compatible. This is the *k-cluster complex* of Φ. This simplicial complex is *pure*, all facets have cardinality n, where

$n = |S|$ is the rank of Φ.

Now we can define the *F-triangle* [1, Definition 5.3.1] as

$$F_\Phi^k(x,y) = \sum_{A\in\Delta^{(k)}(\Phi)} x^{|A^+|}y^{|A^-|} = \sum_{l,m} f_{l,m}x^l y^m,$$

where A^+ is the set of coloured positive roots in A and A^- is the set of uncoloured negative simple roots in A. Thus $f_{l,m}$ is the number of faces of $\Delta^{(k)}(\Phi)$ containing exactly l coloured positive roots and exactly m uncoloured negative simple roots.

Consider the Weyl group $W = W(\Phi)$ of the root system Φ. A *Coxeter element* in this group is a product of all the simple reflections in some order. For $w \in W$, let $l_T(w)$ denote the *absolute length* of w. Fix a Coxeter element $c \in W$. A *k-delta sequence* is now a sequence $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k)$ with $\delta_i \in W$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $c = \delta_0\delta_1 \cdots \delta_k$ and $l_T(c) = \sum_{i=0}^k l_T(\delta_i)$. Define a partial order on k-delta sequences by

$$\delta \le \epsilon \text{ if and only if } \delta_i \le \epsilon_i \text{ in the absolute order for all } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}.$$

The set of k-delta sequences with this partial order is called the poset of *k-noncrossing partitions $NC_{(k)}(\Phi)$* [1, Definition 3.3.1]. We drop the choice of the Coxeter element c from the notation, since a different choice of Coxeter element results in a different but isomorphic poset.

Now we can define the *M-triangle* [1, Definition 5.3.1] as

$$M_\Phi^k(x,y) = \sum_{\delta,\epsilon\in NC_{(k)}(\Phi)} \mu(\delta,\epsilon)x^{n-rk(\epsilon)}y^{n-rk(\delta)},$$

where rk is the rank function of the graded poset $NC_{(k)}(\Phi)$, μ is its Möbius function, and $n = |S|$ is the rank of Φ.

3. The Main Result

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem. *If Φ is a classical root system or $k = 1$, and the rank of Φ is n, then*

$$H_\Phi^k(x,y) = (x-1)^n F_\Phi^k\left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1+(y-1)x}{x-1}\right).$$

4. Proofs

To prove the main result, we show that the derivatives with respect to y of both sides agree, as well as their specialisations at $y = 1$. To do this, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *If Φ is a classical root system or $k = 1$, and the rank of Φ is n, then*

$$H_\Phi^k(x,1) = (x-1)^n F_\Phi^k\left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1}{x-1}\right).$$

Proof.

$$(x-1)^n F_\Phi^k\left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1}{x-1}\right) = \sum_{l,m} f_{l,m}(x-1)^{n-(l+m)} = \sum_i h_i x^{n-i}$$

is the reverse of the h-polynomial of $\Delta^{(k)}(\Phi)$. So

$$[x^i](x-1)^n F_\Phi^k\left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1}{x-1}\right) = h_{n-i} = Nar^{(k)}(\Phi, i),$$

by [6, Theorem 3.2]. But

$$[x^i]H^k_\Phi(x,1) = Nar^{(k)}(\Phi, i)$$

if Φ is a classical root system [2, Proposition 5.1] or $k = 1$ [2, Remark 5.2]. Here the $Nar^{(k)}(\Phi, i)$ are the *Fuss-Narayana numbers* [1, Definition 3.5.4]. ☐

Remark. Lemma 1 is conjectured [6, Conjecture 10.1] to also hold if Φ is an exceptional crystallographic root system and $k > 1$. Since **Lemma 2** and **Lemma 3** hold in general, this would imply the $H = F$ conjecture in full generality.

Lemma 2.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}F^k_{\Phi(S)}(x,y) = \sum_{\alpha\in S} F^k_{\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\})}(x,y).$$

Proof. This is proven in [4, Proposition 3]. For completeness, as well as to highlight the analogy to the proof of **Lemma 3**, we repeat the proof here.

We wish to show that

$$y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}F^k_{\Phi(S)}(x,y) = y\sum_{\alpha\in S} F^k_{\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\})}(x,y),$$

that is, we seek a bijection θ from the set of pairs $(A, -\alpha)$ with $A \in \Delta^{(k)}(\Phi(S))$ and $-\alpha \in A \cap (-S)$ to $\amalg_{\alpha\in S}\Delta^{(k)}(\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\}))$ such that $\theta(A)$ contains the same number of coloured positive roots as A, but exactly one less uncoloured negative simple root. By [6, Proposition 3.5], the map $\theta(A, -\alpha) = (A\backslash\{-\alpha\}, \alpha)$ is such a bijection. ☐

Lemma 3.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}H^k_{\Phi(S)}(x,y) = x\sum_{\alpha\in S} H^k_{\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\})}(x,y).$$

Proof. We wish to show that

$$y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}H^k_{\Phi(S)}(x,y) = xy\sum_{\alpha\in S} H^k_{\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\})}(x,y).$$

That is, we seek a bijection Θ from the set of pairs (V, α) with $V \in NN^{(k)}(\Phi(S))$ and $\alpha \in V_k \cap S$ to $\amalg_{\alpha\in S}NN^{(k)}(\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\}))$ such that $\Theta(V)$ has exactly 1 less rank k simple element and exactly one less rank k indecomposable element than V. This bijection is defined as follows: For a pair (V, α) with $V \in NN^{(k)}(\Phi(S))$ and $\alpha \in V_k \cap S$, let $\Theta(V_i) = V_i\backslash V(\alpha) = V_i \cap \Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\})$, where $\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\})$ is the root system with simple system $S\backslash\{\alpha\}$. Then let $\Theta(V) = (\Theta(V_1), \Theta(V_2), \ldots, \Theta(V_k))$ and $\Theta(V, \alpha) = (\Theta(V), \alpha)$.

Claim 1. *$\Theta(V)$ is a k-nonnesting partition of $\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\})$.*

Proof. Clearly every $\Theta(V_i)$ in $\Theta(V)$ is an order filter in the root poset of $\Phi(S\backslash\{\alpha\})$, and the $\Theta(V_i)$ form a (multi)chain under inclusion. This chain is geometric, since for all $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$

$$\begin{aligned}(\Theta(V_i) + \Theta(V_j)) \cap \Phi^+(S\backslash\{\alpha\}) &\subset (V_i + V_j) \cap \Phi^+(S\backslash\{\alpha\})\\ &\subset V_{i+j} \cap \Phi^+(S\backslash\{\alpha\}) = \Theta(V_{i+j}).\end{aligned}$$

Also $\Theta(\Lambda_i) = \Lambda_i$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$, so $(\Theta(\Lambda_i)+\Theta(\Lambda_j))\cap\Phi^+(S\backslash\{\alpha\}) \subset \Theta(\Lambda_{i+j})$ for all i, j with $i + j \leq k$. ☐

Claim 2. *$\Theta(V)$ has exactly one less rank k simple element and exactly one less rank k indecomposable element than V.*

Proof. $V_k \backslash \Theta(V_k) = V(\alpha)$ is the order ideal in the root poset generated by α, so α is the only simple root in it. Thereby $\Theta(V)$ has exactly one less rank k simple element than V. α is an indecomposable element in $V_k \backslash \Theta(V_k)$. All other elements of $V_k \backslash \Theta(V_k)$ are not indecomposable, since they are not minimal in V_k. Clearly any indecomposable element of $\Theta(V_k) = V_k \cap \Phi(S \backslash \{\alpha\})$ is indecomposable in $\Phi(S \backslash \{\alpha\})$. Conversely, no decomposition of an element in $\Phi(S \backslash \{\alpha\})$ can include a root that has α in its support, so an indecomposable element in $\Phi(S \backslash \{\alpha\})$ is indecomposable in $\Phi(S)$. Thus $\Theta(V)$ has exactly one less rank k indecomposable element than V. ☐

Now define a map Ψ from $\amalg_{\alpha \in S} NN^{(k)}(\Phi(S \backslash \{\alpha\}))$ to the set of pairs (V, α) with $V \in NN^{(k)}(\Phi(S))$ and $\alpha \in V_k \cap S$ by $\Psi(V_i) = V_i \cup V(\alpha)$, so that $\Psi(V) = (\Psi(V_1), \Psi(V_2), \ldots, \Psi(V_k))$ and $\Psi(V, \alpha) = (\Psi(V), \alpha)$.

Claim 3. *$\Psi(V)$ is a k-nonnesting partition of $\Phi(S)$.*

Proof. Clearly every $\Psi(V_i)$ is an order filter in the root poset of $\Phi(S)$ and the $\Psi(V_i)$ form a (multi)chain under inclusion. This chain is geometric, since for all $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$

$$\begin{aligned}(\Psi(V_i) + \Psi(V_j)) \cap \Phi^+(S) &= ((V_i \cup V(\alpha)) + (V_j \cup V(\alpha)) \cap \Phi^+(S) \\ &\subset ((V_i + V_j) \cap \Phi^+(S)) \cup V(\alpha) \subset V_{i+j} \cup V(\alpha) = \Psi(V_{i+j}).\end{aligned}$$

Also $\Psi(\Lambda_i) = \Lambda_i$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$, so

$$(\Psi(\Lambda_i) + \Psi(\Lambda_j)) \cap \Phi^+(S) = (\Psi(\Lambda_i) + \Psi(\Lambda_j)) \cap \Phi^+(S \backslash \{\alpha\}) \subset \Psi(\Lambda_{i+j})$$

for all i, j with $i + j \leq k$. ☐

Now Θ and Ψ are inverse to each other, so Θ is a bijection, as required. ☐

We are now able to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem. *If $\Phi = \Phi(S)$ is a classical root system or $k = 1$, and the rank of Φ is n, then*

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (x-1)^n F_\Phi^k \left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1 + (y-1)x}{x-1} \right).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 0$, both sides are equal to 1, so the result holds. If $n > 0$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_{\Phi(S)}^k(x, y) = x \sum_{\alpha \in S} H_{\Phi(S \backslash \{\alpha\})}^k(x, y),$$

by Lemma 3. By induction hypothesis, using the fact that if $\Phi(S)$ is a classical root system, then so is $\Phi(S \backslash \{\alpha\})$, this is further equal to

$$x \sum_{\alpha \in S} (x-1)^{n-1} F_{\Phi(S \backslash \{\alpha\})}^k \left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1 + (y-1)x}{x-1} \right),$$

which equals

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} (x-1)^n F_{\Phi(S)}^k \left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1 + (y-1)x}{x-1} \right)$$

by Lemma 2. But

$$H_\Phi^k(x, 1) = (x-1)^n F_\Phi^k \left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1}{x-1} \right)$$

by Lemma 1, so

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (x-1)^n F_\Phi^k \left(\frac{1}{x-1}, \frac{1 + (y-1)x}{x-1} \right),$$

since the derivatives with respect to y as well as the specialisations at $y = 1$ of both sides agree. ☐

Corollary 1 ([5, Conjecture 6.1])**.** *If $k = 1$, and the rank of Φ is n, then*

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (1 - x)^n F_\Phi^k \left(\frac{x}{1 - x}, \frac{xy}{1 - x} \right).$$

Proof. We have that

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (x - 1)^n F_\Phi^k \left(\frac{1}{x - 1}, \frac{1 + (y - 1)x}{x - 1} \right),$$

which is equal to

$$(x - 1)^n (F_\Phi^k)^* \left(\frac{1}{x - 1}, \frac{1 + (y - 1)x}{x - 1} \right) = (1 - x)^n F_\Phi^k \left(\frac{x}{1 - x}, \frac{xy}{1 - x} \right),$$

where $(F_\Phi^k)^*$ is the *dual F-triangle* [1, Definition 5.3.3]. See also [4, Proposition 5]. ☐

Corollary 2 ([1, Conjecture 5.3.2])**.** *If Φ is a classical root system or $k = 1$, and the rank of Φ is n, then*

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (1 - (y - 1)x)^n M_\Phi^k \left(\frac{y}{y - 1}, \frac{(y - 1)x}{1 + (y - 1)x} \right).$$

Proof. We have that

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (x - 1)^n F_\Phi^k \left(\frac{1}{x - 1}, \frac{1 + (y - 1)x}{x - 1} \right). \tag{1}$$

But we also have [8, Conjecture FM] [10, Theorem 1.2]

$$F_\Phi^k(x, y) = y^n M_\Phi^k \left(\frac{1 + y}{y - x}, \frac{y - x}{y} \right). \tag{2}$$

Substituting (2) into (1), we obtain

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (1 - (y - 1)x)^n M_\Phi^k \left(\frac{y}{y - 1}, \frac{(y - 1)x}{1 + (y - 1)x} \right).$$

☐

We can now use **Corollary 2** to transfer a remarkable instance of combinatorial reciprocity observed by Krattenthaler [9, Theorem 8] for the M-triangle to the H-triangle.

Corollary 3. *If Φ is a classical root system, and the rank of Φ is n, then*

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (-1)^n H_\Phi^{-k} \left(1 - x, \frac{-xy}{1 - x} \right).$$

Proof. We have that

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = (1 - (y - 1)x)^n M_\Phi^k \left(\frac{y}{y - 1}, \frac{(y - 1)x}{1 + (y - 1)x} \right). \tag{3}$$

But we also have [9, Theorem 8] [10, Theorem 1.2]

$$M_\Phi^k(x, y) = y^n M_\Phi^{-k} \left(xy, \frac{1}{y} \right). \tag{4}$$

Substituting (4) into (3), we obtain

$$H_\Phi^k(x, y) = ((y - 1)x)^n M_\Phi^{-k} \left(\frac{xy}{1 + (y - 1)x}, \frac{1 + (y - 1)x}{(y - 1)x} \right). \tag{5}$$

Inverting (3) gives

$$M_\Phi^k(x,y) = (1-y)^n H_\Phi^k\left(\frac{y(x-1)}{1-y}, \frac{x}{x-1}\right). \tag{6}$$

Substituting (6) into (5), we obtain

$$H_\Phi^k(x,y) = (-1)^n H_\Phi^{-k}\left(1-x, \frac{-xy}{1-x}\right).$$

□

References

[1] D. Armstrong, *Generalized noncrossing partitions and combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 202, Number 949.

[2] C. Athanasiadis, *On a refinement of the generalized Catalan numbers for Weyl groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **357** (2005), 179-196.

[3] C. Athanasiadis, *On some enumerative aspects of generalized associahedra*, European Journal of Combinatorics **28** (2007), 1208-1215.

[4] F. Chapoton, *Enumerative properties of generalized associahedra*, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire **51** (2004), Article B51b.

[5] F. Chapoton, *Sur le nombre de réflexions pleines dans les groupes de Coxeter finis*, Bulletin Simon Stevin **13** (2006), 585-596.

[6] S. Fomin and N. Reading, *Generalized cluster complexes and Coxeter combinatorics*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **44** (2005), 2709–2757.

[7] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

[8] C. Krattenthaler, *The F-triangle of the generalised cluster complex*, Topics in Discrete Mathematics (2006), 93-126.

[9] C. Krattenthaler, *The M-triangle of generalised non-crossing partitions for the types E7 and E8*, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire **54** (2006), Article B54l.

[10] E. Tzanaki, *Faces of generalized cluster complexes and non-crossing partitions*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **22** (2008), 15-30.